

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Parker
Given Name	Amanda
Person ID	1287152
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Parker
Given Name	Amanda
Person ID	1287152
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I don't dispute the need for housing, however more consideration needs to be done on where they are built.</p> <p>They need to have little environmental disruption and feed established infrastructures.</p> <p>Building on greenfield is the easy and lazy option opposed to looking deep at better options that recycle buildings. Don't be lazy</p>
Family Name	Parker
Given Name	Amanda
Person ID	1287152
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Parker
Given Name	Amanda
Person ID	1287152
Title	JPA 22: Land North of Smithy Bridge
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>In response to the above I believe</p> <p>There is no justified reason or desperate need for luxury housing that justifies building and destroying greenbelt on Rochdale.</p> <p>Brown field sites have not been fully explored. Following the pandemic there will be more available. Warehouses, factories etc places where smaller plots of houses can be built that don't disrupt the greenbelt, environment or natural areas of beauty.</p> <p>The national planning policy framework states greenbelt serves 5 purposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas; (b) to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another; (c) to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment; (d) to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and (e) to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land. <p>This is the current site that is planned for development - planners/developers have no proven exceptional circumstances to built here.</p> <p>The site fails to comply with PFE Objective 7 and is not consistent with adapting to climate change, moving to a low carbon economy and NPPF Chapters 2 (para 8) and 9</p> <p>The area considered has increased traffic and poor air quality do you the location near Hollingsworth Lake a beauty spot that sees hundreds of visitors a day.</p> <p>There is no connection to the Metrolink and the train lines struggle to cope with existing demand. The roads around the site are strained, the smallest disruption or roadworks and the surround roads are gridlocked. On a daily basis rush hour brings heavy traffic and queues adding to the already poor air quality. The existing roads would not be able to cope with a further 1000 cars.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant	<p>Change the plans to build on greenbelt. Seek brown field sites following the closure of buildings following the pandemic.</p> <p>Reduce the number of houses and preserve the need greenbelt. Protect the environment and protect the wildlife, protect areas for generations to come.</p> <p>Prove the need for executive housing in Rochdale.</p>

and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.